

















































































The rising prominence of Tamayo in Mexico during the 50s and 60s reflects the ascendancy of non-figurative art, and the gradual displacement of the Mexican School, signaling the defeat of social realism and its concomitant communist utopia. This cultural shift was necessary to promote a discourse of modernity that embraced cosmopolitanism (associated with the US), rather than a revolutionary nationalism that locked Mexico into the orbit of the USSR. The decision to herald the work of Levine as one of the most important artists working in the US, while celebrating the work of Tamayo, however, presents an interesting question. Why promote an “apolitical” Mexican artist while honoring the œuvre of an American painter whose work was clearly politically charged? Perhaps Levine’s exhibition was a way to critique American politics and capitalism using one of their own as a rallying voice, and hence allowing the silenced voice of Siqueiros to speak. While there are many speculative reasons for this, it is certain that Lassanky’s and Levine’s honorary positions, in both of the Inter-American biennials, defied the ideological and aesthetic schism that divided the world, thus presenting a much more complex arena for the cultural battles of the Cold War. ❁

N.B. This study is part of an international research project titled *Decentralized Modernities. Art, politics and counterculture in the transatlantic axis during the Cold War*. It is an I+D project funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science (I+D HAR2017-82755-P).